Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/020,426	CASTAGNOZZI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Torres	2133
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>New Case</u>		
(1) <u>Joseph D. Torres</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Gerald Maliszewski</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 March 2004	Time: <u>1:30pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1-32		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: During a telephone conversation with Gerald Maliszewski on 24 March 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 17-32.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
. 60		
(Examiner/SPE dignature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		